

## **LLG Performance Assessment**

LLG Name: Aukot Soroti District

(Vote Code: 930)

Assessment Scores

LLG Performance Assessment 81%

Maximum score is 6

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
A. Fur	nctionality of Parish Ad	ministrative Structures		
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	List of PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes seen and functional	2
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	List of PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes seen and functional	2
	Maximum score is 2			
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	NGOs, CBOs & CSO mapping report for the previous FY available	2
	Maximum score is 6			
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:  ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs	2
	M - 1			

The LLG conducted iv. That the LLG budget include investments to LLG budget includes Annual Planning and be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0 investments to be Budgeting exercise for financed by the LLG

Maximum score is 6

the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	It is evident that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget	1
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	LLG budget was Submitted on 15/05/2024	1
5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan by 30/4/2024	2
6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	Budget for the current FY comply	2
C. Ow	n Source Revenue Mob	oilization and Administration		
7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization) Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	low OSR	0
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	No increase	0

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	No evidence	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	Used more than 20%	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	Budgeted and used at least 5% OSR funds on operational and maintenance	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	OSR was publicized	1
<b>D. Fir</b> 10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General late	0
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	Submitted late with Q2	0

11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0	Q2 submitted on 12th January	1
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0	Late	0
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0	Late	0
E. Hur	man Resources Manag	ement for Improved Service Delivery		
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY appraised	2
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	Appraised Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year	2

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	appraised HC II In- charges	2
	Maximum score is 6	(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else		
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	Publicized the list of LLG staff seen	3
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance	3
<b>F. Imp</b>	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects	2
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%:  Score 2	previous FY does not	2
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four):	Investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per	2
	Maximum score is 3	If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3  If 70% -90%: Score 2	work plan by end of FY	
		If less than 70 %: Score 0.		

## **G. Environmental and Social Safeguards**

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	Obtained copies of the Environmental and Social Screening	2
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances	1
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms	1
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	LLG has fully constituted Area Land Committee with sets of Minutes for the previous FY	1

## H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	•		3	
	Awareness campaigns	Evidence that the LLG has conducted	Reports on	
	and mobilization on	awareness campaigns and parent's	awareness	
	education services	mobilization for improvement of education	campaigns and	
	conducted in last FY	service delivery score 3, else score 0	parents' mobilization	
			for improvement of	
	Maximum score is 3		education services	
			seen	

Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools

Maximum score is 4

Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous

Monitoring reports from LLG monitoring schools in the previous 3 terms seen

If all schools (100%) - score 4

If 80 - 99% - score 2

If 60 to 79% score 1

Below 60% score 0

3

3

4

3

22 Existence and functionality of School Management Committees

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0

LLG have functional school management committees in all schools

I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care campaigns and service delivery score 3, else score 0

There are Reports on awareness community mobilization for improvement of primary health care

24

The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY

Maximum score is 4

Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health health service service delivery during the previous FY, score 4 or else score 0

delivery monitoring reports submitted

25

Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0

LLG has functional Health unit Management Committee

J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	SAS did not submit	0
	Maximum score is 3			
27		Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	SAS monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services	3
28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees	2
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities	2
<b>K. Urk</b> 30	oan Planning and Mana	gement (Applicable to Town Councils and I	Divisions only)	
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new		
	Maximum score 2	investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0		

(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s)

approved by the Council covering at least the

percentage below Score 1 or else 0:

20% in 2022/23

30% in 2023/24

40% in 2024/25

30

Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines

Maximum score 2

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31 Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per quidelines

(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31 Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

32 and implemented a solid waste management plan

The LLG has developed (i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

and implemented a solid waste management plan

32

33

The LLG has developed (ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

## L. Production Services Management

34 Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected,

Maximum score is 2

analyzed and reported

If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG

Production Office score 2 or else 0.

35

Farmer awareness and carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

reports on awareness creation available

No reports

36

37

crops, animals and fisheries

Maximum score is 2

The LLG has carried out If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on monitoring activities on agricultural production reports by extension production activities for for crops, animal and fisheries covering among staff exist others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2

Monthly monitoring

or else 0

Farmer trainings field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has carried out through training farmer farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

Field reports available

2

0

2

2

The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management